

Steel Authority of India Limited Rourkela Steel Plant Rourkela – 769011 Fax : 0661-2510183

> Ref. No. : 691/EE/1/352Date : 21/09/2020.

Respected Sir,

Sub : Environmental Statement of Rourkela Steel Plant & Captive Power Plant#1 for the year 2019-20

Please find enclosed herewith the Environment Statement of Rourkela Steel Plant including Captive Power Plant for the year 2019-20 for your kind information and necessary action.

Thanking you sir,

With kind regards,

Yours faithfully,

(S.N Xess) GM I/c (Env. Engg. Department)

Encl : As above

To:

The Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, A/118, Nilakantha Nagar, Unit-VIII, Bhubaneswar – 1

<u>FORM – V</u>

Environmental Statement for the financial year ending 31st March, 2020

Part – A

I.	Name and address of the owner/occupier of the industry operation or process	:	Sri P K Dash Executive Director (Works) M/s SAIL – Rourkela Steel Plant Rourkela.
н.	Industry Category	:	Primary & Secondary
111.	Production Capacity	:	4.2 MTPA Crude Steel & 100 MW Captive Power Generations
IV.	Year of Establishment	:	1959
V.	Date of last Env. Statement submitted	:	10/09/2019.



Part – B

Water and Raw Material Consumption

1. Water & Consumption :

Year ->	2019-20	2018-19
Water Consumption	48,759 m3/day	49164 m3/day
Process	1,066 m3/day	1052 m3/day
Cooling	32,257 m3/day	32356 m3/day
Domestic	15,436 m3/day	15756 m3/day

Name of Product	Process water consumption per unit of product output (including cooling)		
	During the current financial year 2019-20	During the previous financial year 2018-19	
Crude Steel	3.81 m ³ /Tonne of Crude Steel	3.66 m ³ /Tonne of Crude Steel	
Power Generation	3.27 m ³ /Tonne of Steam	5.98 m ³ /Tonne of Steam	

2. Raw Material Consumption :

		Consumption of Raw Material per unit of output			
Name of Raw Material	Name of Product	During the current financial year 2019-20	During the previous financial year 2018-19		
Iron Ore		1.753 T/TCS	1.770 T/TCS		
Coal	Crude Steel	0.846 T/TCS	0.802 T/TCS		
Lime Stone		0.338 T/TCS	0.310 T/TCS		
Dolomite		0.231 T/TCS	0.222 T/TCS		
Boiler Coal		0.073 T/T of Steam	0.057 T/T of Steam		
Mixed Gas	Steam generated from Captive	64.12 Nm3/ T of Steam	64.97 Nm3/ T of Steam		
Blast Furnace Gas	Power Plant	226.81 Nm3/ T of Steam	276.58 Nm3/ T of Steam		
Furnace Oil	1	0.084 Kg/ T of Steam	0.095 Kg/ T of Steam		



<u> Part – C</u>

Pollution discharge to Environment/unit of output (Parameter as specified in the consent order)

(a) Total Water pollution load discharged from Plant :

Parameter	Qty. of pollutant discharged (Kg/day)	Concentrations of pollutants in discharges (mass/volume)	Norm	% of variation from prescribed standards(-VE)
SS	469.52	20.2 mg/lit	100	-79.8%
TDS	5004.32	215.3 mg/lit	2100	-89.75%
BOD	264.51	11.38 mg/lit	30	-62.07%
COD	808.87	34.8 mg/lit	250	-86.08%
Oil & grease	22.08	0.95 mg/lit	10	-90.5%
Iron	40.90	1.76 mg/lit	3.0	-41.34%
Total Chromium	0.23	0.01 mg/lit	2.0	-99.5%

(b) Total Air Pollution load discharged from all major stacks:

Parameter	Qty. of pollutant discharged (Kg/day)	Concentrations of pollutants in discharges (mass/volume)	% of variation from prescribed standards with reasons
Stack emission load (Particulate Matter)	6519.6	35.09 mg/Nm3	 29.83 % The norms for stack emissions are different from different shops ranging from 50 mg/Nm3 (Coke Oven Stacks) to 150 mg/Nm3 (Sintering Plant stacks). For calculation purpose the stringent norms i.e., 50 is considered.



Rourkela Steel Plant & Captive Power Plant#1 Environment Statement :: 2019-20

<u> Part – D</u>

Hazardous Waste : As specified under Hazardous Waste (Management & Handling) Rules, 1989 and amendment thereof in 2008.

a) From Process :

SN. as per		Total Quantity (Ton/Year)		
HW Authorization order	Hazardous Waste	During the current year 2019-20	During the previous year 2018-19	
1	Used/spent oil	34.6 Ton/Yr	42.2 Ton/Yr	
4	Zinc Fines/ Dust/Ash/Skimmings	489.5 Ton/Yr	531.8 Ton/Yr	
5	Acid Residues during pickling/ surface cleaning of coils in cold rolling mills	50Ton/Yr	50Ton/Yr	
7	Spent bath/ sludge containing Sulphide, Cyanide and Toxic metals	Nil	Nil	
9	Decanter Tank Tar Sludge	60 Ton/Yr	60 Ton/Yr	
10	Process acidic residues, dusts or filter cakes	Nil	1Ton/Yr	
15	Sulphur Muck	Nil	Nil	
16	Damaged Refractory lining & residue from furnace	15 Ton/Yr	20 Ton/Yr	
18	Nickle compound	1 Ton/Yr	Nil	
19	Waste Asbestos	Nil.	0.5 Ton/Yr	

b) From Pollution Control Facilities:

2	Wastes/ Residues containing oil	300 Ton/Yr	448 Ton/Yr
3	Tarry residues from coal chemical Dept	1 Ton/Yr	1 Ton/Yr
6	Tar storage tank residue generated from cold rolling mill	Nil	Nil
8	Tar storage tank residue generated from Coal Chemical Dept	10	1 Ton/Yr
11	Spent Catalyst	Nil	2 Ton/Yr
12	Spent Solvents	Nil	0.5 Ton/Yr
13	Spent Ion Exchange resin containing toxic metal	Nil	5 Ton/Yr
14	Chemical sludge from Waste Water Treatment	273 Ton/Yr	120 Ton/Yr
17	Rejected Sand	5 Ton/Yr	15 Ton/Yr
20	Flue gas cleaning residue	22,521 Ton/Yr	19,000 Ton/Yr
21	Gas Cleaning Plant(GCP) Sludge of LD Furnace	62,569 Ton/Yr.	65,878 Ton/Yr.



<u> Part – E</u>

Solid Wastes

		Total Quantity Ton/Yr				
SN.	Solid Waste	During current year 2019-20	During previous year 2018-19			
	Generation from Process					
	Blast furnace slag	13,75,999	11,24,893			
	SMS slag	5,75,415	5,98,557			
а	Mill scale	52,905	54,073			
	Acetylene sludge	0	0			
	Bottom Ash/Cinder	46,768	42,107			
	Generati	on from Pollution Control fa	cility			
b	SMS sludge	62,569	65,878			
	Fly Ash	1,02,350	76,915			
	Quantity Recycled/Reutilized within the unit					
	Mill scale	52,905	54,073			
	SMS slag	1,41,555	1,14,215			
С	SMS sludge	797	6,950			
	Fly Ash	1,02,350	76,915			
	Bottom Ash/Cinder	46,768	42,107			
		Quantity Sold				
	BF slag (granulated)	13,75,999	11,24,893			
	Rejected bricks	1,871	807			
d	Acetylene sludge	0	0			
	SMS sludge	42,283	14,392			
	SMS slag	184	0			
	Fly Ash (Given free of cost)	19.6	145.06			
	Bottom Ash/Cinder	Nil	Nil			
		Disposed				
	BF slag (Air cooled)	Nil	Nil			
e	SMS slag	4,33,860	4,84,342			
-	Rejected bricks	0	0			
	Fly Ash Bottom Ash/Cindor	Nil	Nil			
		1111	1411			



<u>Part – F</u>

Please specify the characterizations (in terms of composition of quantum) of hazardous as well as solid wastes and indicated disposal practice adopted for both these categories of wastes.

SN.	Hazardous Waste	Composition	Quantum	Disposal practices
1	Tar Residue from Gas Trap & Scale			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
2	Used Oil	•		Sold to outside agencies having registration with MOEF/SPCB
3	Oily Sludge/Waste contaminated with oil			Recycled/reused inside RSP/Kept in impervious pit
4	Zink Dross			Sold to outside agencies having registration with MOEF/SPCB
5	Pickling Tank Sludge			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
6	Tin Plating Line Sludge			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
7	Acid Tar			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
8	Decanter Tar Sludge			Recycled/Reused inside RSP
9	Catch Pit Sludge/Tarry waste			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
10	Acid Storage Tank Sludge			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
11	V2O5 Catalyst		a& b	Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
12	Cleaning Solvent Sludge	lable	D	Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
13	DM Plant Neutralization Sludge	avai	Part	Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
14	Chemical sludge from Waste Water Treatment	Not	ven in	Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
15	Sulphur Muck		Gi	Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
16	Damaged Refractory lining & residue from furnace	-		Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
17	Tin Ash			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
18	Dichromate Sludge			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
19	Non Ferrous Waste			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
20	Bag Filter Dust			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
21	Rejected Sand			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
22	Sand Blasting Bag filter Dust			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
23	Grinding Waste	1		Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
24	Waste Asbestos			Disposed in Hazardous waste pit .
25	Flue gas residue	1		Recycle in Sinter Plant through OBBP



II) Solid Waste :

SN.	Solid Waste	Quantity of Generation (Tons)	Composition	Disposal methodology
1)	BFc. Slag	13,75,999	SiO2 – 17.8%; Sl2O3 – 34.6%; CaO – 9.7%; MgO – 0.58%; FeO – 0.12%, MnO5 – 0.49%	Sold to cement manufacturers.
2)	SMS Slag	5,75,415	FeO - 23.2% SiO2 - 11.7% CaO - 46.3% MnO - 0.7% Al2O3 - 1.4% P2O5 - 5.7% TiO2 - 2.6%	Recycled back to process for steel making, used as pavement material, rail ballast etc.
3)	Mill Scale	52,905	FeO - ~ 98%	Recycled back to steel making process
4)	Acetylene Sludge	0	CaO ~ 65%	Sold to external agencies for use for white washing.
5)	SMS Sludge	62,569	Total Iron – 66% SiO2 – 6.1% Al2O3 – 0.6% CaO – 18% P2O5 – 6% MnO – 0.26% TiO2 – 0.8%	Sold to external agencies for making pellets.
6)	Fly Ash, Bottom Ash & cinder	1,02,350	SiO2 : 60 - 64% Al2O3 : 12 - 23% TiO2 : 1.5% Fe2O3 : 8 - 19% Na2O : 0.1 - 0.2% MgO : 1-3.5%	Given to fly ash brick manufactures free of cost, used for reclamation of low lying areas and used for making embankments.

<u> Part – G</u>

Impact of the pollution abatement measures taken on conservation of natural resources and on the cost of production.

Demostrative / Macaura	Level of	f Pollution	Damada	Cost
Department / Measure	Before After		Remark	(RS. In Lakhs)
Installation of Air Pollution control Systems in vertical Kiln#2, #3 & #4 of Calcining Plant#2	The old DE system outlived their life, resulting high stack emissions	Reduction of Stack emissions	Commissioned successfully	Rs. 520 Lakh
Installation of Dog House – Secondary emission control systems in BOF#1 & BOF#2 of SMS#2	High shop floor emissions	Reduction of secondary emissions and fugitive emissions	Commissioned successfully	Rs. 470 Lakh



<u> Part – H</u>

Additional measures/ investment proposed for environmental protection including abatement of pollution / prevention of pollution.

- Augmentation of 20 no. of Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems (CEMS) dust by providing remote calibration facility. Rs. 60 Lakhs.
- Tree plantation inside the steel plant premises 1,01,456 nos.

<u> PART – I</u>

Any other particulars for improving the quality of the environment.

Tree Plantation :

Description	2019-20	2018-19
Tree plantation in and around Rourkela Steel Plant	1,16,397	1,02,870
Free distribution of saplings in Educational institutions and peripheral villages	30,000	1 lakh

